



The Effect of Job Training, Intrinsic Motivation, Individual Characteristics on Work Productivity of DI Yogyakarta Tourism Office Employees

Arryanto ¹ Syamsul Hadi ² Epsilandri Septyarini ³ Bachelor of Science at Tamansiswa University, Yogyakarta

Email: arrylday28@yahoo.co.id 1

Article Info:

Abstract:

Job Training; Intrinsic Motivation; Individual Characteristics; Work Productivity; This study aims to determine the effects of job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics on the work productivity of employees at the Yogyakarta Special Region Tourism Office. The study utilized a sample that consisted of the entire population of employees who attended job training, totaling 65 individuals. The sampling technique employed in this study was saturated sampling. Data was collected through a questionnaire using a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. Subsequently, validity and reliability tests were conducted. The data analysis technique employed in this study was multiple linear regression. The results indicated that both individual predictor variables and their combined effect (simultaneously) had a positive and significant impact on the dependent variable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Organizational operational activities are inherently intertwined with human Employees, resources. a crucial component of human resources, significantly contribute to and shape an organization's advancement. Being social beings, employees are a company's primary asset. They assume roles as planners, executors, and controllers, consistently engaging in realizing company objectives (NEP Lestari, 2018). The attainment of organizational goals closely hinges on the productivity of these activities.

Enhancing employee productivity encompasses the collective responsibility of various stakeholders. A common challenge lies in the insufficient provision of training for employees. Therefore, it is imperative for companies to adeptly guide and enhance employee performance through meticulously designed job training that ultimately benefits the entire organization.

Job training, aside from its immediate benefits, serves a purpose in the acquisition of knowledge and skills, subsequently fostering elevated work productivity. Employees' comprehension of their roles and responsibilities augments productivity. This productive work environment further radiates positive influence to fellow colleagues, thereby cultivating a shared atmosphere of mutual motivation. This effect is notably potent when companies acknowledge exceptional employee performance through rewards and similar forms of recognition.

Colleagues collaboratively who motivate each other over time reflect a sense of accountability and camaraderie within the organization (Hadi, Wahyuningtyas, et al., 2023). These conveyed values ignite enthusiasm and a collective approach to troubleshooting organizational challenges. Acknowledging the innate diversity of individual characters among employees underscores the shared responsibility to support and appreciate each other's tasks, ensuring the smooth progression of operations. Consequently, this research endeavors to investigate the distinct impacts of job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics—both individually and collectively—on the work productivity





of employees at the Yogyakarta Special Region Tourism Office.

2. LITERATURE REVIEWS

2.1 Job training

As outlined by Sari and Sari (2021), job structured training is a initiative orchestrated by companies with overarching objective of enhancing skills, knowledge, and refining employee work attitudes. This concept closely aligns with the perspective presented by Irfan Mataputun (2021), wherein job training is delineated as a comprehensive program designed to cultivate employee expertise, education, and professional proficiency, ultimately preparing them for utilization within their specific domains.

2.2 Intrinsic Motivation

Kimberly et al. (2019) assert that intrinsic motivation is rooted in self-driven impetus, compelling an individual to strive for particular objectives. Echoing this sentiment, Klaudia et al. (2021) emphasize intrinsic motivation as an essential impetus originating from within an individual. The intensity of a person's motivation significantly influences the caliber of work they deliver, with higher levels of motivation correlating to greater levels of work quality.

2.3 Individual Characteristics

Individual characteristics are distinct or unique traits possessed by an individual, setting apart their abilities from those of others, thus contributing to the enhancement and refinement of their performance (Putra & Fitri, 2021). As articulated by Fauziah (2019), individual characteristics encompass person's inherent qualities or personality traits, manifesting through their current state and demarcating them from their peers.

2.4 Work productivity

In line with NHI Lestari's perspective (2020), work productivity signifies the methodology of generating or amplifying outcomes in terms of goods and services, optimizing resource utilization to its utmost capacity. This notion gauges the amount and caliber of work accomplished, factoring in the resources invested, as articulated by Laksmiari (2019).

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Method

This research employs a quantitative methodology with a descriptive approach, translating numerical data into verbal or written expressions. Quantitative research systematic and entails scientific examination of components and phenomena, along with their interrelationships. The principal aim of quantitative research is to formulate and apply mathematical models, theories, and/or hypotheses pertinent to natural phenomena. This study investigates two variables: the independent variables, namely job training, intrinsic motivation, and characteristics, individual while work productivity is identified as the dependent variable. The chosen sampling technique is saturated sampling, which involves using the entire population as the sample, as advocated by Sugiyono (2018). The rationale for opting for a saturated sample is rooted in the small population size, leading to the inclusion of all 65 employees constituting the population as respondents in this study. To procure the necessary data and information, the authors employed a questionnaire as the data collection method. The questionnaire was selected to facilitate the evaluation of respondents' answers, offering a spectrum of alternative choices organized into a 5-tiered categorical assessment framework





3.2 Research data

The data utilized for this study were collected from respondents through the distribution of questionnaires among employees of the Tourism Office of the Special Province of Yogyakarta. The data source employed in this research is primarily derived from firsthand data. The data collection process utilizes a Likert scale, assigning scores ranging from 1 to 5, to facilitate the acquisition of respondent data. total, 65 respondents provided In comprehensive and appropriately completed questionnaires that were deemed suitable for analysis. In this study, a saturated sampling technique employed, entailing the inclusion of the entire population as the sample. The study's focus was on the 65 employees of the Special Region of Yogyakarta Provincial Tourism Office who had undergone training, and consequently, the sample size consisted of the same number, i.e., 65 employees.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Results

4.1.1 Data sources

This study adopts a saturated sampling technique, encompassing the entire population as its sample. The study

focuses on a total population of 65 employees hailing from the Special Region of Yogyakarta Provincial Tourism Office who have participated in training sessions. Consequently, the number of samples employed also amounts to 65 employees. The ensuing list outlines the sample criteria established for this study:

- a. Inclusion of all employees, both permanent and non-permanent, affiliated with the Tourism Office of the Special Region of Yogyakarta.
- b. Encompassing both male and female employees.
- c. Requiring a minimum of 1 year of work experience at the Yogyakarta Special Region Provincial Tourism Office.
- d. Mandating attendance in a training program, encompassing both internal and external training initiatives.

4.1.2 Data quality test

a. validity test

As per Ghozali's assertion in 2018, the foundation for drawing conclusions from tests is as follows: a) A statement or item is considered valid if its significance value is < 0.05. b) Conversely, a statement or item is deemed invalid if its significance value is > 0.05.

Table 2: Validity Test Results

| | <u> </u> | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Variables | Question Items | r _{value} | r _{table} | Information |
| Job | X1.1 | .453 _ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| Training (X1) | X1.2 | .635 _ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X1.3 | 0.731_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X1.4 | . 782 | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X1.5 | .636_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| intrinsic | X2.1 | 0.7 20 | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| Motivation (X2) | X2.2 | 0.557_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X2.3 | .735 _ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X2.4 | 0.644_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X2.5 | 0.654_ | $0.24\ 4_{-}$ | Valid |
| Individual | X3 .1 | 0.654_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| Characteristics (X3) | X3.2 | 0.695_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | X3.3 | .630 _ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | | | | |





| | X3 .4 | 0.752_{-} | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
|------------------|-------|-------------|---------|-------|
| Work | Y. 1 | 0.566_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| Productivity (Y) | Y. 2 | 0.702_{-} | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | Y.3 | 0.628_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | Y.4 | 0.783 _ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | Y.5 | 0.697_ | 0.2 4 4 | Valid |
| | Y.6 | 0.724 | 0.244 | Valid |

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023

Derived from the outcomes presented in the validity test table, it has been determined that all statement items are deemed valid. This decision is rooted in the fact that each Pearson Correlation (r value) surpasses the critical r table value, signifying a significance value of less than 0.05.

b. Reliability test

The outcomes of the reliability test for the variables—namely job training, intrinsic motivation, individual characteristics, and work productivity—have been compiled, involving a respondent pool of 65 employees.

Table 3 Reliability Test Results

| | | - | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| No. | Variables | Cronbach's | Results |
| | variables | Alpha | reliability |
| 1 | Job Training | 0.644 | reliable |
| 2 | Intrinsic Motivation | 0.670 | reliable |
| 3 | Individual Characteristics | 0.621 | reliable |
| 4 | Work Productivity | 0.755 | reliable |

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023

Based on the reliability test results table above, the questionnaire is declared reliable because the Cronbach's Alpha value is > 0.6. Thus the statement items in the research questionnaire are considered reliable or feasible.

intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics:

c. Normality test

Following are the normality test results of the variables of job training,

Table 4: Normality Test Results

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Unstandardized Residuals | | | |
| N | | 65 | | | |
| Normal Parameters a,b | Means | 0 | | | |
| | std. Deviation | 1.69208441 | | | |
| | absolute | 0.103 | | | |
| Most Extreme Differences | Positive | 0.103 | | | |
| | Negative | -0.075 | | | |
| Test Statistics | | 0.103 | | | |
| asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | | .083 c | | | |

a Test distribution is Normal. b Calculated from data. c Lilliefors Significance Correction Source : Processed by SPSS, 2023





From the findings of the normality test outlined earlier, it's evident that the obtained significance value is 0.083, surpassing the threshold of 0.05. This leads to the conclusion that the residual values conform to a normal distribution.

d. Multicollinearity Test

The results of the multicollinearity test for the variables—namely job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics—are presented as follows:

Table 1
Multicollinearity Test Results

| Coefficients a | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| Model | 011000 | ndardized efficients | Standardized Coefficients | | C: a | Colline Statis | • |
| Model | В | std. Error | Betas | t | Sig. | toleranc e | VIF |
| 1 (Constant) | 2,194 | 3,887 | | 0.564 | 0.575 | | |
| Work Training | 0.373 | 0.121 | 0.32 | 3,098 | 0.003 | 0941 | 1,063 |
| Intrinsic Motivation | 0.282 | 0.116 | 0.245 | 2,438 | 0.018 | 0.994 | 1006 |
| Individual Characteristics | 0.551 | 0.143 | 0.399 | 3,852 | 0 | 0.940 | 1,064 |

a Dependent Variable: Work Productivity

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023

Analyzing the information extracted from the provided multicollinearity test results table, the job training variable displays a tolerance value of 0.941, which surpasses the threshold of 0.10, alongside a VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) value of 1.063, less than 10.00. Similarly, the intrinsic motivation variable showcases a tolerance of 0.994, exceeding 0.10, and a VIF value of 1.006, below 10.00. Furthermore, the individual characteristic variables exhibit a tolerance of 0.944, above 0.10, and a VIF

value of 0.940, less than 10.00. Consequently, these findings collectively lead to the conclusion that there are no indications of multicollinearity present.

e. Heteroscedasticity Test

The outcomes of the heteroscedasticity test for the variables—namely job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics—are presented as follows:

Table 2 Heteroscedasticity Test Results

| | Coefficients ^a | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | Unstandardized Standard | | Standardized | | | | |
| | _ | Coeffi | cients | Coefficients | ents t | | | |
| Model | | В | std. Error | Betas | • | | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | -1,251 | 2,370 | | 0.528 | 0.600 | | |
| | Work Training | 0.036 | 0.073 | 0.063 | 0.495 | 0.622 | | |
| | Intrinsic Motivation | -0.032 | 0.070 | -0.057 | -0.461 | 0.646 | | |
| | Individual | 0.147 | 0.087 | 0.216 | 1,684 | 0.097 | | |
| | Characteristics | | | | | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Abs_Res

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023





Referring to the provided Table 6, it is apparent that the significance levels (sig.) for the job training variable, intrinsic motivation variable, and individual characteristic variable are 0.622, 0.646, and 0.097, respectively. All of these significance values exceed the threshold of 0.05. Consequently, based on these results, it can be concluded that there is no presence of

heteroscedasticity issue within the examined

f. Hypothesis test

1) T-test

Following are the results of the t or partial test from multiple linear regression analysis, namely the job training variable (X $_{1}$), intrinsic motivation (X $_{2}$), individual characteristics (X $_{3}$), and work productivity (Y):

Table 3
Test Results T

| Coefficients ^a | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | | Unstandardize | d Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | | | | |
| Mo | odel | В | std. Error | Betas | t | Sig. | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 2,194 | 3,887 | | 0.564 | 0.575 | | |
| | Work Training (X1) | 0.373 | 0.121 | 0.320 | 3,098 | 0.003 | | |
| | Intrinsic Motivation (X2) | 0.282 | 0.116 | 0.245 | 2,438 | 0.018 | | |
| | Individual Characteristics (X3) | 0.551 | 0.143 | 0.399 | 3,852 | 0.000 | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Work Productivity (Y) Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023

Referring to Table 7 and focusing on the "t" and "sig." columns, the interpretations are as follows:

- a) The job training variable demonstrates a t-value of 3.098, exceeding the critical t-table value of 2.000. Additionally, the significance level is 0.003, which is lower than 0.05. As a result, the hypothesis test (H1) is accepted. Thus, it can be concluded that, partially, the job training variable has a positive and significant impact on work productivity.
- b) The intrinsic motivation variable exhibits a t-value of 2.438, surpassing the critical t-table value of 2.000. The significance level is 0.018, which is less than 0.05. Consequently, the hypothesis test (H2) is

- accepted. This indicates that the intrinsic motivation variable, partially, has a positive and significant influence on work productivity.
- c) The individual characteristic variable displays a t-value of 3.852, which is higher than the critical t-table value of 2.000. The significance level is 0.000, considerably lower than 0.05. Hence, the hypothesis test (H3) is accepted. It can be deduced that the individual characteristic variable, in partial terms, exerts a positive and significant impact on work productivity.

2) F test

The outcomes of the F-test, also known as the simultaneous test, derived





from the multiple linear regression analysis involving the variables job training, intrinsic motivation, individual characteristics, and work productivity, are presented as follows:

Table 4
F Test Results

| | ANOVA a | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------|----|------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | Sum of | | | | | | | | |
| | Model | Squares | df | MeanSquare | F | Sig. | | | |
| 1 | Regression | 115.158 | 3 | 38,386 | 12,779 | .000 ь | | | |
| | residual | 183,242 | 61 | 3,004 | | | | | |
| | Total | 298,400 | 64 | | | | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Work Productivity(Y)

b. Predictors: (Constant), Individual Characteristics (X3), Intrinsic Motivation (X2), Job Training (X1)

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2023

Referring to the provided Table 8, specifically focusing on the "F" and "Sig." columns, the interpretations are as follows: The calculated F value is 12.779, which surpasses the critical F-table value of 2.76. Additionally, the significance level is 0.000, significantly lower than 0.05. Consequently, the hypothesis test (H4) is accepted. This signifies that there is simultaneous evidence to support the assertion that the variables of job training, intrinsic motivation, and

individual characteristics collectively exhibit a positive and significant impact on work productivity

3) Determination Coefficient Test (R 2)

The outcomes of the coefficient of determination test (R^2) pertaining to the job training variable (X1), intrinsic motivation (X2), and individual characteristics (X3) in relation to work productivity (Y) are presented as follows:

Table 5
Test Results for the Coefficient of Determination (R ²)

| | , , , | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary models | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Adjusted R | std. Error of | | | | |
| Model | R | R Square | Square | the Estimate | | | | |
| 1 | .621 a | 0.386 | 0.356 | 1,733 | | | | |
| a. Predic | a. Predictors: (Constant), Individual Characteristics(X3), | | | | | | | |

Source: Processed by SPSS, 2022

Intrinsic Motivation (X2), Job Training (X1)

Referring to the provided Table 9, the recorded R^2 value is 0.386. This indicates that the combined impact of the job training variable, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics on work productivity

accounts for 38.6%. It's important to note that the remaining 61.4% of the variance in work productivity is attributed to factors not considered or explored in this study.





4.2 Discussion

4.2.1 Effect of Job Training on Work Productivity

The test outcomes reveal that the job training variable (X1) exerts a partial positive and significant impact on the work productivity variable. This implies that higher levels of job training correspond to increased effects on work productivity. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the job training variable exhibits a t-value of 3.098, which surpasses the critical t-table value of 2.000, and holds a significance level of 0.003, below 0.05. These findings are corroborated by prior research conducted by Wahyuningsih (2019) and Massora (2018), both of which similarly indicate a positive and significant correlation between job training and work productivity.

4.2.2 Effect of Intrinsic Motivation on Work Productivity

The test outcomes reveal that the job training variable (X1) exerts a partial positive and significant impact on the work productivity variable. This implies that higher levels of job training correspond to increased effects on work productivity. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the job training variable exhibits a t-value of 3.098, which surpasses the critical t-table value of 2.000, and holds a significance level of 0.003, below 0.05. These findings are corroborated by prior research conducted by Wahyuningsih (2019) and Massora (2018), both of which similarly indicate a positive and significant correlation between job training and work productivity.

4.2.3 Effect of Individual Characteristics on Work Productivity

The examination of the test outcomes highlights that the individual characteristic variable (X3) holds a significant influence over the work productivity variable. This implies that an enhancement in the individual employee characteristics

corresponds to a higher impact on work productivity. This conclusion is grounded in the fact that the individual characteristic variable exhibits a t-value of 3.852, which exceeds the critical t-table value of 2.000, and maintains a significance level of 0.000, far below 0.05. These findings find support from previous research conducted by Latifah (2020) and Jaya and Rahmawati (2022), both of which underscore a positive and significant relationship between individual characteristics and work productivity.

4.2.4 Effect of Job Training, Intrinsic Motivation, Individual Characteristics on Work Productivity

The findings from the simultaneous testing reveal that the variables of job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics collectively impact work productivity. This inference is drawn from the considerable magnitude of the F value, which is 12.779, exceeding the critical Ftable value of 2.76, and a significance level of 0.000, significantly lower than 0.05. This research is corroborated by a similar study undertaken by Apriliani and Sriathi (2019), which substantiates that three variablesemployee empowerment, teamwork, and training—simultaneously exert a positive and significant influence on employee productivity.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the outcomes of data analysis derived from the conducted research on employees at the Yogyakarta Special Province Tourism Office, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- a. The job training variable demonstrates a positive and significant impact on the work productivity of Yogyakarta Tourism Office employees.
- b. The intrinsic motivation variable has a positive and significant effect on the work productivity of employees at the Tourism





- Office of the Special Province of Yogyakarta.
- c. The individual characteristics variable holds a positive and significant influence on the work productivity of Yogyakarta Tourism Office employees.
- d. Collectively, the variables of job training, intrinsic motivation, and individual characteristics exert a positive and significant impact on the work productivity of employees at the Tourism Office of the Special Province of Yogyakarta.

REFERENCES

- Apriliani, NK, and Sriathi, AAA (2019). The Influence of Empowerment, Teamwork and Training on Employee Productivity at Spa Santrian Bali. *Udayana University Management E-Journal*, 8 (11), 6867–6886. https://doi.org/10.24843/ejmunud.2 019.v08.i11.p24
- Astuti, RW (2020). The Influence of Education, Work Skills and Work Environment on Employee Productivity. *JSMBI* (Indonesian Journal of Management and Business Science) , 10 (1), 24–29. http://jurnal.unmuhjember.ac.id/index.php/SMBI/article/view/3383
- Basit, AA, Hermina, T., and Al Kautsar, M. (2018). The Influence of Internal Motivation and Work Environment on Employee Productivity. *KnE Social Sciences*, 3 (10), 790–800. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i10.3 424
- Ekowati, S., Subandrio, and Monica, J. (2021). Analysis of the Influence of Work Attitudes, Individual Characteristics and Organizational Culture on Employee **Productivity** the Muhammadiyah University of Bengkulu. Scientific Journal of Islamic Accounting, Management Economics (JAM-EKIS), 4 (2), 326-345. http://jurnal.umb.ac.id/index.php/ja

mekis

- Fauziah, NH (2019). The Effect of Individual Characteristics and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance in the Twisting Section at PT. Dewa Sutratex II Cimahi . 5 , 192–199. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.29313/.v0i0.15058
- Ginting, HB (2021). Effect of Employee Training on Work Productivity at PT. Aryan Indonesia. *Journal of Research in Business, Economics, and Education*, 3 (3), 1938–1943. http://e-journal.stie-kusumanegara.ac.id
- Gumilar, G. (2018). The Effect of Training on Increasing Employee Productivity at PT. Raya Sugarindo Inti Tasikmalaya. *Scientific Journal of Management and Business*, 19 (1), 55–63. https://doi.org/10.30596/jimb.v19i1. 1804
- Hadi, S., Pebrianti, E., and Kirana, KC (2023). Analysis of The Effect of Work-Life Balance, Self-Esteem and Work Motivation on Organizational Commitment Moderated Organizational Justice on Workers in Yogyakarta. Journal of Education, Teaching, and Learning, 8 (1), 7-14. https://doi.org/10.26737/jetl.v8i1.33 13
- Hadi, S., Wahyuningtyas, N., Rachmawati, AP, Tang, LL, and Mentariningtyas, G. (2023). Innovation and Collaboration in Organizations: A Bibliometric Research. International Journal of Economics and Management Review, 1 (1), 28–38. https://doi.org/10.58765/ijemr.v1i1. 67
- Herlina, E., and Sutrisno, S. (2022). Correlation Achievement of Motivation with Employee Productivity of PT. Bogor PLN Implementing Transmission Unit. Journal of Social and Technology (SOSTECH) 2 (2), 153-159. https://greenvest.co.id/





- Irfan, M., and Mataputun, DR (2021). Job Training and Its Influence on Employee Productivity. *Journal of Management, Business, and Entrepreneurship*, 1 (1), 15–26.
- Jaya, UA, and Rahmawati, SS (2022). The Effect of Individual Characteristics and Work Environment on Employee Productivity. HORIZONS IMWI Repository , 5 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.52 851/cakrawala.v5i1.96
- Jumantoro, R., Farida, U., and Santoso, A. (2019). The Influence of Competence, Work Motivation, Workload, and Training on Work Productivity of Human Resources Multi-Business Cooperative Children Mandiri Ponorogo. ISOQUANT: Journal of Management Economics, and Accounting 3 (1),106. https://doi.org/10.24269/iso.v3i1.24
- Kimberly, JF, Prakoso, DB, and Efrata, TC (2019). The Role of Individual Innovation Capability, Intrinsic Motivation, and Self-Efficacy on Individual Performance in Student Organizations. *Media Mahardhika*, 17 (2), 231–243. https://doi.org/10.29062/mahardika. v17i2.80
- Klaudia, L., Prayekti, P., and Herawati, J. (2021). The Effect of Work Environment, Compensation, and Intrinsic Motivation on Employee Loyalty. *Journal of Management and Business Research*, 15 (2), 121. https://doi.org/10.21460/jrmb.2020. 152.388
- Kurniawan, IS, and Rimas, ME (2021). The Effect of Work Environment, Motivation, and Work Experience on Employee Productivity of Kud Pama Imu Ende. *Journal of Balanced Economics*, 17 (2), 236–247. https://doi.org/10.26618/jeb.v17i2.6 095

- Laksmiari, NPP (2019). The Effect of Work Motivation on Employee Work Productivity at the Lotus Flower Tea Company in Patemon Village, Serrit District. *Journal of Economic Education Undiksha*, 11 (1), 54–63. https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JJPE/article/view/20066/12037
- Latifah, S. (2020). The Influence of Individual Characteristics, Skills and Work Maintenance on Convection Work Productivity. *Syntax Idea*, 2 (5), 142–151. https://www.jurnal.syntaxidea.co.id/index.php/syntaxidea/article/view/277
- Lestari, NEP (2018). The Influence of Training, Work Discipline & Leadership Style on Employee Productivity at PT Federal Nittan Industries. Widya Cipta, 2 (2), 263–270. ttp://ejournal.bsi.ac.id/ejurnal/index. php/widyacipta
- Lestari, NHI (2020). The Effect of Compensation and Organizational Culture on Productivity by Mediation of Work Motivation (Case Study at PT. Hutan Rindang Banua). *JBS (Social Based Journal)*, 1 (2), 26–42. http://jurnal.stkipalmaksum.ac.id/index.php/jbs/article/view/119
- Liana, W. (2020). The Influence of Motivation on Employee Productivity of PT Telkom Indonesia, Tbk Palembang Branch. *National Journal of Marketing & HR Management*, 1 (1), 65–72. https://doi.org/10.47747/jnmpsdm.v 1i01.25
- Massora, A. (2018). The Influence of Training and Motivation on Employee Work Productivity at the Ministry of Tourism. *Journal of Management*, 06 (2), 60–72.
- Meydita, M., Puspitaningtyas, Z., and Murdiastuti, A. (2020). The Influence of Democratic Leadership and Individual Characteristics on





- Employee Productivity. *Journal of Policy and Business Science*, 1 (2), 76–85. https://doi.org/10.19184/rdjpbs.v1i2
- Nasem, Arifudin, O., Cecep, and Taryanan, T. (2018). The Influence of Training and Motivation on Work Productivity of Stit Rakeyan Santang Karawang Education Personnel. *MEA Scientific Journal (Management & Accounting)*, 2 (3), 209–218.

.22240

- Nurnaningsih, and Tabe, R. (2019). The Influence of Motivation on Employee Productivity of PT. Telkom Tbk Makassar Branch. *Tasharruf: Journal of Economics and Business of Islam*, 4 (2), 92–109. https://doi.org/10.30984/tjebi.v4i2.1020
- Pasaribu, F. (2018). The Effect of Employee Characteristics on Work Productivity. Proceedings of the 7th National Conference, 231–242.
- Pramayani, AASDI, and Adnyani, IGAD (2018). The Influence of Empowerment, Innovative Behavior, and Work Motivation on Work Productivity of Bumbu Bali Employees at Tanjung Benoa Restaurant, Badung, Bali. INOBIS: Indonesian Journal of Business and Management Innovation, 2 (1), 1–15.
- Prasetyo, DW, and Uddin, IA (2020). The Role
 Of Individual Characteristics And
 Labor Characteristics Towards
 Employee Productivity. *JMD*:
 Dewantara Management & Business
 Research Journal, 3 (2), 115–120.
 https://doi.org/10.26533/jmd.v3i2.6
 74
- Purnomohadi, Rozi, A., and Sumardjo, W. (2020). The Influence of Individual Characteristics and Work Environment on Employee Work Productivity in the Moving Machinery Section at PT. Krakatau Posco in Cilegon. Scientific Journal of Business and Management Students.

- Putra, RB, and Fitri, H. (2021). Literature
 Review: Lecturer Performance
 Measurement Model and
 Organizational Citezenship Behavior
 Based on Individual Characteristics,
 Work Culture and Individual Behavior.
 Journal of Applied Management Science
 , 2 (4), 485–512.
 https://doi.org/10.31933/jimt.v2i4.4
 47
- Rampisela, VAJ, and Lumintang, GG (2020).

 The Effect of Work Motivation, Work Environment and Wages on Employee Productivity of PT Dayana Cipta. *EMBA Journal: Journal of Economics, Management, Business and Accounting Research*, 8 (1), 302–311. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.8.1.2020.27535
- Sari, ML, and Sari, RK (2021). The Influence of the Implementation of the Training Program and the Implementation of the K3 Management System on the Work Productivity of Members at the Bekasi City Fire Department. *Alliance: Journal of Management and Business*, 16 (2), 53–60. https://doi.org/10.46975/alliance.v1 6i2.99
- Siregar, ES, and Hasanuddin. (2022). Meta-Analytic Study: The Relationship Between Achievement Motivation and Work Productivity in Employees. *Diversita Journal*, 8 (1), 110–116. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31 289/diversita.v8i1.7192
- Sugiyono, D. (2018). Quantitative, qualitative and R&D research methods. *Bandung: Alphabet*, *15* (2010).
- Usman, O., and Ardiyani, Y. (2022). Effect of Training, Work Motivation and Work Environment on Work Productivity at PT Traguna Travel's. Social Science Research Network , 1–26. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4129706

Wahyuningsih, S. (2019). Effect of Training





in Improving Employee Productivity. *Warta Journal* , 60 , 91–96.

http://jurnal.dharmawangsa.ac.id/index.php/juwarta/article/view/413