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**ABSTRACT**

*This research aims to describe improving student learning outcomes in English subjects using a problem-based learning model in procedural text material. This type of research is classroom action research using Kurt Lewin's model. This research is located at MTsS PTP-VI Berangir, North Labuhanbatu Regency, North Sumatra Province. The subjects in this research were all 28 students of class IX-D. This research was carried out within one month, in August, the odd semester of the 2022-2023 school year. The data analysis technique used in this research is descriptive quantitative with the narrative test of learning outcomes with a frequency distribution table. Data collection tools in this research are observation and learning outcomes tests. This research procedure was carried out in cycles, cycles I and II, which consisted of planning, implementation, observation, and reflection. The research results show that the completeness of student learning outcomes in the first cycle is 75.00%, students who achieve completeness are 21 students, while those who have not achieved completeness are seven. Furthermore, in Cycle II, the percentage of students who complete learning outcomes increased by 92.86%. Students who achieved completeness were 26 students, while those who had not achieved completeness were two students.*
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**INTRODUCTION**

It is believed that English as one of the subjects in school plays an important role in preparing students to become qualified because English is a way to examine things logically, critically, rationally, and systematically and to train students' problem-solving skills so that they can develop their potential and resources. Resources they have (Saidi, 2022). As an international language, English plays an important function. In addition to functioning as a communication tool, language is used to master technology, whose evolution requires us to gain a deeper understanding (Rohman, 2020).

Teachers try to set up structures and procedures in the classroom that facilitate and encourage student learning. One definition of teaching is the practice of creating a conducive learning environment by managing resources and building meaningful relationships with students (Murniyati, 2017). Teaching success requires a more appropriate approach or learning model. This is very useful for getting the desired result. Therefore, teachers must be able to choose from several learning models following the subject matter to be taught. Teachers struggle to erase the monotonous image of teaching (Pamungkas et al., 2018). The learning model can be used to increase students' comfort in learning and improve their critical thinking skills. To succeed in the 21st century, students must be able to apply critical thinking in problem-solving (Pramana et al., 2020).

The problem-based learning model is a learning paradigm that uses real-world problems as content or teaching materials so that students can gain knowledge by learning to solve problems critically and competently (Sudarmika, 2021). Problem-based learning is the application of intelligence from within a group of people or the surrounding environment to solve meaningful, relevant, and contextual problems. Problem-based learning is a set of instructional models emphasizing problem-solving skills, materials, and self-regulation development (Nurdyansyah & Fahyuni, 2016). Problem-based learning techniques emphasize autonomous learning through understanding the problem before collecting data (Tahrim et al., 2021).

This study aims to describe the improvement of student learning outcomes in English subjects by using a problem-based learning model in procedural text material. Several previous studies have been conducted, including research (Vera et al., 2021), (Nuarta, 2020), (Fitriyanti, 2021), (Supiningsih, 2017) and (Yusril, 2022). However, none of these five studies has explored a problem-based learning model, especially in the "Procedure Text" teaching material.

The phenomenon that occurs in learning English with the teaching material "Procedure Text" there are still some problems, including the lack of student motivation, the lack of vocabulary owned by the students, and teachers who teach English subjects rarely use learning media. Of course, these problems greatly affect student learning outcomes, especially in English lessons and teaching materials.

To overcome this, the author will apply a new learning model, namely the problem-based learning model. So with the application of the learning model, student learning outcomes are improving and increasing. The research problem formulation is: (1) How does the problem-based learning model apply to learn English? and (2) how to improve students' English learning outcomes by applying the problem-based learning model.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

This type of research is classroom action research with Kurt Lewin's model, which consists of four steps in each cycle: planning, action, observation, and reflection (Ananda et al., 2015). This classroom action research described improving student learning outcomes in English subjects using a problem-based learning model. This research is located at PTP-VI Private Madrasah Tsanawiyah Berangir, North Labuhanbatu Regency, North Sumatra Province. The subjects in this research were all 28 students of class IX-D. This research was carried out within one month, in August, the odd semester of the 2022-2023 school year.

Data collection tools in this research are observation and learning outcomes tests (Rangkuti, 2014). This research procedure was carried out in cycles, cycles I and II, which consisted of planning, implementing, observing, and reflecting (Sugiyono, 2016). The data analysis technique used in this research is descriptive quantitative. Quantitative data was obtained from the observation of the implementation of the action. Then, it is analyzed by narrating the learning outcomes test using a frequency distribution table.

**DISCUSSION AND RESULTS**

**Initial Conditions**

This classroom action research was carried out considering that the condition of students in English subjects still had problems with the Procedure Text material. As a result, many student learning outcomes are still low and do not reach the KKM on the material. The results of the students' pretest before the application of the problem-based learning (PBL) model on the Procedure Text material can be seen in table 1 below:

***Table 1. Student Pretest Results Before Using the Problem-Based Learning Model***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Research Subject** | **KKM** | **Score** | **Completeness** |
| Subject 1 | 84 | 86 | Complete |
| Subject 2 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Subject 3 | 84 | 90 | Complete |
| Subject 4 | 84 | 75 | Not Completed |
| Subject 5 | 84 | 77 | Not Completed |
| Subject 6 | 84 | 82 | Not Completed |
| Subject 7 | 84 | 84 | Complete |
| Subject 8 | 84 | 87 | Complete |
| Subject 9 | 84 | 85 | Complete |
| Subject 10 | 84 | 70 | Not Completed |
| Subject 11 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 12 | 84 | 91 | Complete |
| Subject 13 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 14 | 84 | 83 | Not Completed |
| Subject 15 | 84 | 80 | Not Completed |
| Subject 16 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Subject 17 | 84 | 82 | Not Completed |
| Subject 18 | 84 | 84 | Complete |
| Subject 19 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 20 | 84 | 86 | Complete |
| Subject 21 | 84 | 72 | Not Completed |
| Subject 22 | 84 | 75 | Not Completed |
| Subject 23 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 24 | 84 | 83 | Not Completed |
| Subject 25 | 84 | 80 | Not Completed |
| Subject 26 | 84 | 79 | Not Completed |
| Subject 27 | 84 | 79 | Not Completed |
| Subject 28 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Amount | | 2277 |  |
| Average | | 81,32 |  |
| Lowest Value | | 70 |  |
| The highest score | | 91 |  |
| Percentage | | 28,57 % |  |

Based on table 1 above, it can be seen that the results of the students' pretest before the application of the problem-based learning model were carried out. The percentage of complete learning is 28.57%. The lowest score in the pretest was 70. In contrast, the highest score was 91. The average score in the pretest was 81.32. Furthermore, after doing this pretest, the researcher continued the research to Cycle I.

**Cycle I**

In the first cycle, the teaching material was "procedure text," with a time allocation of 4 x 45 minutes. In addition, tests were also prepared to see student learning outcomes, student activity observation sheets, and teacher activity observation sheets. Therefore, an action plan is prepared in the learning implementation plan (RPP), which contains three activities: initial, core, and final.

The students' English learning outcomes in the first cycle were measured by providing an evaluation in the form of a multiple-choice test. There was an increase in student learning outcomes after the problem-based learning model was applied to the "procedure text" material. The learning outcomes obtained by students can be seen in the following table:

***Table 2. Students' English Learning Outcomes in Cycle I***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Research Subject** | **KKM** | **Score** | **Completeness** |
| Subject 1 | 84 | 86 | Complete |
| Subject 2 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Subject 3 | 84 | 90 | Complete |
| Subject 4 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 5 | 84 | 85 | Complete |
| Subject 6 | 84 | 95 | Complete |
| Subject 7 | 84 | 84 | Complete |
| Subject 8 | 84 | 80 | Not Completed |
| Subject 9 | 84 | 85 | Complete |
| Subject 10 | 84 | 84 | Complete |
| Subject 11 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 12 | 84 | 91 | Complete |
| Subject 13 | 84 | 92 | Complete |
| Subject 14 | 84 | 83 | Not Completed |
| Subject 15 | 84 | 87 | Complete |
| Subject 16 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 17 | 84 | 96 | Complete |
| Subject 18 | 84 | 84 | Complete |
| Subject 19 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Subject 20 | 84 | 86 | Complete |
| Subject 21 | 84 | 72 | Not Completed |
| Subject 22 | 84 | 87 | Complete |
| Subject 23 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 24 | 84 | 83 | Not Completed |
| Subject 25 | 84 | 93 | Complete |
| Subject 26 | 84 | 79 | Not Completed |
| Subject 27 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 28 | 84 | 97 | Complete |
| Amount | | 2446 |  |
| Average | | 87,36 |  |
| Lowest Value | | 72 |  |
| The highest score | | 100 |  |
| Percentage | | 75 % |  |

Based on table 2, it can be seen that the learning outcomes obtained by students have increased after the problem-based learning model was applied. Students who achieved completeness were 21 students, while students who had not achieved completeness were seven students. The highest score of students in the first cycle is 100, and the lowest score is 72. The percentage of complete student learning outcomes in the first cycle is 75 %, with an average value of 87.36. Based on the learning outcomes of the first cycle, the researchers continued the research in the second cycle with the same learning model in the first cycle.

**Cycle II**

In cycle II, the teaching material is the same as in cycle I, namely "procedure text," with a time allocation of 4 x 45 minutes. Therefore, an action plan is prepared in the learning implementation plan (RPP), which contains three activities: initial, core, and final. In addition, tests were also prepared to see student learning outcomes, student activity observation sheets, and teacher activity observation sheets.

The students' English learning outcomes in the second cycle were measured by providing an evaluation in the form of multiple-choice tests with a different character from the first cycle. There was a significant increase in student learning outcomes after implementing the same learning model as the first cycle, namely the problem-based learning model. The learning outcomes obtained by students in cycle II can be seen in the following table:

***Table 3. Student's English Learning Outcomes in Cycle II***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Research Subject** | **KKM** | **Score** | **Completeness** |
| Subject 1 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 2 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 3 | 84 | 90 | Complete |
| Subject 4 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 5 | 84 | 90 | Complete |
| Subject 6 | 84 | 95 | Complete |
| Subject 7 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 8 | 84 | 81 | Not Completed |
| Subject 9 | 84 | 93 | Complete |
| Subject 10 | 84 | 96 | Complete |
| Subject 11 | 84 | 100 | Complete |
| Subject 12 | 84 | 91 | Complete |
| Subject 13 | 84 | 92 | Complete |
| Subject 14 | 84 | 95 | Complete |
| Subject 15 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 16 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 17 | 84 | 96 | Complete |
| Subject 18 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 19 | 84 | 83 | Not Completed |
| Subject 20 | 84 | 88 | Complete |
| Subject 21 | 84 | 97 | Complete |
| Subject 22 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 23 | 84 | 92 | Complete |
| Subject 24 | 84 | 98 | Complete |
| Subject 25 | 84 | 93 | Complete |
| Subject 26 | 84 | 97 | Complete |
| Subject 27 | 84 | 89 | Complete |
| Subject 28 | 84 | 97 | Complete |
| Amount | | 2596 |  |
| Average | | 92,71 |  |
| Lowest Value | | 81 |  |
| The highest score | | 100 |  |
| Percentage | | 92,86 % |  |

Table 3 shows that the learning outcomes obtained by students in cycle II experienced a significant increase compared to learning outcomes in cycle I. Students who achieved mastery were 26 students, while students who had not achieved mastery were two students. The highest score of students in the second cycle is 100, and the lowest score is 81. The percentage of students who complete learning outcomes in the first cycle is 92.86 %, with an average value of 92.71. Based on the learning outcomes in the second cycle, the researchers completed this research only up to the second cycle. This was done because the English subject teacher felt that the mastery achieved by the students was quite good.

**Discussion**

This classroom action research was conducted to find out how to improve student learning outcomes in English subjects using a problem-based learning model. As shown from the results of the study, the increase in student learning outcomes in the pretest before the implementation of the problem-based learning model, there are still many students who have not achieved mastery learning outcomes. In the first cycle, the percentage of student learning outcomes completeness is 75.00%. Students who achieve completeness are 21 students, while those who have not achieved completeness are seven students. In Cycle II, the percentage of completeness of student learning outcomes is 92.86%. Students who achieved completeness were 26 students, while those who have not achieved completeness were two students.

Each cycle of problem-based learning leads to a greater student understanding of the material, leading to better English learning outcomes (Damayanti, 2021). Learning that is built with a problem-based learning model is used with the following objectives: (1) mastery of cross-disciplinary knowledge content; (2) mastery of process skills and heuristic disciplines; (3) development of problem-solving abilities; (4) collaborative capability development; and (5) general life skills development (Indarto, 2020). The observer fills in the observation sheet with his observations when the action is taken. The activities of students involved in the Problem Based Learning paradigm for learning English are recorded and analyzed (Indriani, 2022). Almost often, better results follow from the measures adopted. However, in practice, new examples appear in each cycle that was not detected in the previous cycle, so further improvements must be made to improve further learning activities (Hopiyana et al., 2021). Improving students' English learning outcomes has been seen as good in studies conducted during cycles I and II (Indrawati, 2021).

The results of the research described above, of course, are expected to impact other English subject teachers who also make learning innovations by applying problem-based learning models. Therefore, teachers must continue trying various models and other learning strategies to increase student motivation and learning outcomes.

**CONCLUSION**

This classroom action research shows that learning activities by applying the problem-based learning model can improve English learning outcomes in procedure text material for class IX-D MTsS PTP-VI Berangir, North Labuhanbatu Regency, North Sumatra Province. This can be seen from the percentage of completeness of student learning outcomes in the first cycle of 75.00%. Students who achieve completeness are 21 students, while those who have not achieved completeness are seven students. In Cycle II, the percentage of completeness of student learning outcomes increased by 92.86%. Students who achieved completeness were 26 students, while those who have not achieved completeness were two students.

**REFERENCES**

Ananda, R., Rafida, T., & Syahrum. (2015). *Penelitian Tindakan Kelas*. Bandung: Citapustaka Media.

Damayanti, K. (2021). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris tentang Essai Narrative dan Descriptive, Siswa Kelas XI IPA-2 SMAN 1 Menganti Gresik melalui Pendekatan Problem Based Learning. *Wahana Pedagogika*, *3*(1), Article 1. http://e-jurnal.unisda.ac.id/index.php/wahana/article/view/2501

Fitriyanti, F. (2021). Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Peserta Didik Dengan Metode Problem Based Learning Menggunakan Google Classroom Pada Kelas XI IPA 2 SMA Negeri 9 Pekanbaru Tahun Pelajaran 2020/2021. *Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research*, *1*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.31004/innovative.v1i2.2887

Hopiyana, N., Ariyani, A., & Asma, N. (2021). Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning dalam Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris di SMP Nurul Iman Kota Tasikmalaya Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Pengembangan Pembelajaran*, *3*(2), Article 2. https://www.ejournal-jp3.com/index.php/Pendidikan/article/view/135

Indarto, W. (2020). Model Problem Based Learning dalam Meningkatkan Prestasi Belajar Bahasa Indonesia Materi “Menyampaikan Pidato Persuasif” Kelas IX-F Madrasah Tsanawiyah Negeri 2 Kudus pada Semester Gasal Tahun Pelajaran 2019/2020: In the Odd Semester of Academic Year 2019/2020. *Journal of Education and Teaching (JET)*, *1*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.51454/jet.v1i2.49

Indrawati, E. (2021). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris Pada Materi Expression Of Congratulations Melalui Model Problem Based Learning (Pbl) Siswa Kelas IX MTSN 5 Pidie. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengabdian Vokasi (JP2V)*, *2*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.32672/jp2v.v2i1.2763

Indriani, L. (2022). Penerapan Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Keaktifan dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Pelajaran Bahasa Inggris. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidik Indonesia*, *1*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.56916/jipi.v1i1.116

Murniyati, M. (2017). Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Menggunakan Peta Konsep untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris Siswa SMP. *Suara Guru*, *3*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.24014/suara

Nuarta, I. N. (2020). Meningkatkan prestasi belajar bahasa Inggris melalui penerapan model pembelajaran problem based learning. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Development*, *1*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4006057

Nurdyansyah, & Fahyuni, E. F. (2016). *Inovasi Model Pembelajaran Sesuai Kurikulum 2013*. Sidoarjo: Nizamia Learning Center.

Pamungkas, A. D., Kristin, F., & Anugraheni, I. (2018). Meningkatkan Keaktifan dan Hasil Belajar Siswa melalui Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) pada Siswa Kelas 4 SD. *Naturalistic : Jurnal Kajian Penelitian Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, *3*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.35568/naturalistic.v3i1.268

Pramana, M. W. A., Jampel, I. N., & Pudjawan, K. (2020). Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Biologi Melalui E-Modul Berbasis Problem Based Learning. *Jurnal Edutech Undiksha*, *8*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.23887/jeu.v8i2.28921

Rangkuti, A. N. (2014). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, PTK, dan Penelitian Pengembangan*. Bandung: Citapustaka Media.

Rohman, A. B. D. (2020). Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris pada Pokok Bahasan Explanation Text. *Suara Guru*, *4*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.24014/suara

Saidi, D. (2022). Implementasi Model Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris Siswa Kelas VIISemester II dI SMP 21 Kota Bengkulu Tahun 2020/2021. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan*, *8*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6470278

Sudarmika, P. (2021). Model problem based learning meningkatkan kemampuan reading comprehension siswa: Meta-analisis. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Development*, *2*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5681622

Sugiyono. (2016). *Cara Mudah Menyusun: Skripsi, Tesis, dan Disertasi*. Alfabeta.

Supiningsih. (2017). Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Prestasi Belajar Bahasa Inggris Materi Expressing Intention Pada Siswa Kelas X Teknik Kendaraan Ringan 3 Semester 1 SMKN 2 Gedangsari Gunungkidul Tahun Pelajaran 2017/2018. *Jurnal Teknis*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.32497/teknis.v12i1.1080

Tahrim, T., Owon, R. A. S., Tabun, Y. F., & Bahri, S. (2021). *Pengembangan Model dan Strategi Pembelajaran Bahasa Indonesia*. Sigli: Yayasan Penerbit Muhammad Zaini.

Vera, Y., Maryaningsih, & Agustina, D. (2021). Pengembangan Modul Berbasis Problem Based Learning pada Mata Kuliah Fisiologi Manusia. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Sosial Humaniora*, *6*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.32696/jp2sh.v6i2.987

Yusril, Y. (2022). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Bahasa Inggris pada Teks Deskriptif melalui Model Problem Based Learning di kelas VII SMPN 5 Koto Balingka Kabupaten Pasaman Barat. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling (JPDK)*, *4*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.31004/jpdk.v4i5.6675