

The Author Guidelines of Otoritas : Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan (Cambria 14pt Bold)

Ahmad Harakan*) (12pt bold)

*Department of Governmental Science, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences,
Muhammadiyah University of Makassar. Sultan Alauddin No.259 Makassar, 90221
(11pt normal italic)*

Abstract (Cambria, 12pt Bold)

This is a new author guidelines and article template of Otoritas : journal since year 2016 publication. Article should be started by Title of Article followed by Authors Name and Affiliation Address and abstract. This abstract section should be typed in Italic font and font size of 11 pt and number of words of 150-250. Special for the abstract section, please use left margin of 25 mm, top margin of 30 mm, right and bottom margins of 20 mm. The single spacing should be used between lines in this article. Article is written in English, the abstract should be typed in English only. The Abstract is a miniature contents of the entire writing statement, comprise : problem, purpose, method, scientific finding results, and short conclusion. The abstract should only be typed in one paragraph and one-column format.

Keywords: *author guidelines; Otoritas ; template*

INTRODUCTION

Introduction consists of (in sequence) general background, state of the art as the basis for the scientific novelty statement of the article, scientific novelty statement, and research problem or hypothesis. In the end, introduction should mention the purpose of article review. Literature review is not allowed in the scientific article format, so it is replaced by the state of the art to prove the novelty of the article.

RESEARCH METHODS

Method is implemented to solve problems, including analytical method. The method used to solve the research problems is described in this section.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section consists of results and discussion. Every result should be supported by sufficient data. Then, result should be able to answer the research question or hypothesis stated earlier in the introduction.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion states the answer of the hypothesis and/or research objective or scientific finding. Conclusion is not the repetition of findings and discussion, but it is the summary of findings as expected in the objective or hypothesis. If necessary, conclusion can also be ended with the next idea to be implemented to the study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgments are primarily addressed to research funders or donors. Acknowledgments can also be dedicated to people who contribute in the study.

REFERENCES

All references used in the article should be listed in the References section. References should contain reference literature originating from primary sources

(scientific journals at least 80% of the entire references) published in the last 10 (ten) years. Each article contains at least 10 (ten) references.

It is better to write the reference system in the article and in the references section using the reference management programs such as Mendeley. The writing format used in Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan is APA 6th Edition (American Psychological Association).

Journal:

Prianto, A. L. (2011). Good Governance dan Formasi Kebijakan Publik Neo-Liberal. *Otoritas : Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 1(1), 1-15.

Book:

Hakim, L. (2015). *Hubungan Pemerintah Pusat dan Daerah di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Gramasurya.

Conference Proceeding:

Fang, Q., Zhao, F., & Guibas, L. (2003). Lightweight sensing and communication protocols for target enumeration and aggregation. In M. Gerla, A. Ephremides, & M. Srivastava (Eds.), *MobiHoc '03 fourth ACM symposium on mobile ad hoc networking and computing* (pp. 165 - 176). New York, NY: ACM Press.

HandBook:

Greisler, David S, Stupak, Ronald J (2007). *Handbook of Technology Management in Public Administration*. New York: CRC Taylor and Francis

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan, with registered number ISSN 2088-3706 (Print), ISSN 2502-9320 (Online) is a peer-reviewed journal, available in print and online and published two times a year. This statement clarifies ethical behaviour of all parties involved in the act of publishing an article in this journal, including the author, the chief editor, the Editorial Board, the peer-reviewer----- and the publisher (Department of Governmental Science, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Muhammadiyah University of Makassar in collaboration with Muhammadiyah's College Association of Governmental Science (AIPPTM) and Asia Pacific Society for Public Affairs). This statement is based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Ethical Guideline for Journal Publication

The publication of an article in a peer-reviewed **Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan** is an essential building block in the development of a coherent and respected network of knowledge. It is a direct reflection of the quality of the work of the authors and the institutions that support them. Peer-reviewed articles support and embody the scientific method. It is therefore important to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the journal editor, the peer reviewer, the publisher and the society.

Department of Governmental Science, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Muhammadiyah University of Makassar in collaboration with Muhammadiyah's College Association of Governmental Science (AIPPTM) and Asia Pacific Society for Public Affairs as publisher of **Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan** takes its duties of guardianship over all stages of publishing seriously and we recognize our ethical and other responsibilities. We are committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions.

Publication decisions

The editor of the **Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan** is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions. The editors may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editors may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Fair play

An editor at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

Duties of Reviewers

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Duties of Authors

Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgment of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.


Fundamental errors in published works


When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.




**Program Studi Ilmu Pemerintahan
Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik
Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar**

 5th Floor of Iqra Building, Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar
Sultan Alauddin No. 259 Makassar 90221, Indonesia

 +62411 866972

 +62411 865588

 otoritas@unismuh.ac.id

 <http://journal.unismuh.ac.id/index.php/otoritas>



ISSN 2088-3706 (Print)

9 772088 370009



ISSN 2502-8320 (Online)

9 772502 932554